United States Environmental Protection Agency Region I - New England

IN THE MATTER OF) DOCKET NO. 08-042
City of Taunton, Massachusetts NPDES Permit No. MA0100897)) FINDINGS OF VIOLATION
) AND
Proceedings under Section 309(a)(3) of the Clean Water Act, as amended, 33 U.S.C. §1319(a)(3)) ORDER FOR COMPLIANCE)
33 U.S.C. 31318(a)(3)	

I. STATUTORY AUTHORITY

The following Findings are made and ORDER issued pursuant to Sections 308(a) and 309(a)(3) of the Clean Water Act, as amended (the "Act"), 33 U.S.C. §§ 1318 and 1319(a)(3). Section 309(a)(3) of the Act grants to the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") the authority to issue orders requiring persons to comply with Sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 and 405 of the Act and any permit condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permit issued under Section 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342. Section 308(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1318(a), authorizes EPA to require the submission of any information required to carry out the objectives of the Act. These authorities have been delegated to EPA Region I's Administrator, and in turn to the Director of EPA, Region I's Office of Environmental Stewardship ("Director").

The Order herein is based on findings of violation of Section 301 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311, and the conditions of NPDES Permit No. MA0100897. Pursuant to Section 309(a)(5)(A) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(a)(5)(A), the Order provides a schedule for compliance which the Director has determined to be reasonable.

II. DEFINITIONS

Unless otherwise defined herein, terms used in this Order shall have the meaning given to those terms in the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1251 *et. seq.*, the regulations promulgated thereunder, and any applicable NPDES permit. For the purposes of this Order, "NPDES Permit" means the City of Taunton's (the "City" or the "Permittee") NPDES Permit No. MA0100897, and all amendments or modifications thereto and renewals thereof as are applicable, and in effect at the time.

III. FINDINGS

The Director of the Office of Environmental Stewardship makes the following findings of fact:

- 1. The City of Taunton, Massachusetts is a municipality, as defined in Section 502(4) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(4), established under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 2. The City is a person under Section 502(5) of the Act, 33 U.S.C § 1362(5). The City is the owner of a publicly-owned wastewater treatment works, including a wastewater treatment facility (the "Facility"), and a wastewater collection system (the "Collection System") from which it discharges pollutants, as defined in Section 502(6) and (12) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(6) and (12), from point sources as defined in Section 502(14) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(14), including a combined sewer overflow outfall (the "CSO outfall"), to the Taunton River. The Taunton River flows into Mount Hope Bay, which in turn flows into Narragansett Bay. All are waters of the United States as defined in 40 C.F.R. § 122.2 and navigable waters under Section 502(7) of the Act, 33 U.S.C.§ 1362(7).
- 3. On September 19, 2001, the City was issued NPDES Permit No. MA0100897 by the Director of the Office of Ecosystem Protection of EPA, Region I, under the authority given to the Administrator of EPA by Section 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342. The Permit became effective on September 19, 2001.

- 4. The NPDES Permit authorizes the Permittee to discharge pollutants from the Facility's Discharge Serial Number 001 and the CSO outfall (Discharge Serial Number 004) to the Taunton River, subject to the effluent limitations, monitoring requirements and other conditions specified in its NPDES Permit.
- 5. Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), makes unlawful the discharge of pollutants to waters of the United States except in compliance with, among other things, the terms and conditions of a NPDES permit issued pursuant to Section 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342.
- 6. Without authorization to do so, since March 23, 2004, the City has periodically discharged untreated sewage to the Taunton River and its tributaries from various components of the Collection System other than the CSO outfall.
- 7. The various components of the Collection System from which the City has discharged untreated sewage to the Taunton River and its tributaries are point sources as defined in Section 502(14) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(14).
- 8. The Taunton River and its tributaries, to which the City has discharged untreated sewage without authorization to do so, are "waters of the United States" as defined in 40 C.F.R. § 122.2 and "navigable waters" as defined by Section 502(7) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(7).
- 9. Untreated sewage contains pollutants as defined in Sections 502(6) and (12) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1362(6) and (12).
- 10. The City's unauthorized discharges of pollutants to the Taunton River and its tributaries from components of the Collection System have occurred in violation of Section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a).

IV. ORDER

Accordingly, pursuant to Section 309(a)(3) of the Clean Water Act, it is hereby ordered that:

Combination Manholes

- 1. Within three (3) months of receipt of this Order, the City shall submit a plan for monitoring the combination manholes in the Collection System to determine whether the transfer of sanitary wastewater or storm water from the sanitary sewer to the storm drain or from the storm drain to the sanitary sewer occurs. The City shall implement the monitoring plan within ten (10) months of approval of the plan by the EPA and the MassDEP. The City shall create a log for the inspection of each combination manhole noting the following information:
 - a. combination manhole identification number;
 - b. date and time of the inspection;
 - c. date and time that the monitoring mechanism was set or reset; and
 - the duration and intensity of the storm event that immediately preceded the inspection.

The monitoring plan shall provide for inspections of each combination manhole within 96 hours of the end of two, separate and distinct storm events that exceed one inch in a 24-hour period. The results of all inspections shall be included in the aforementioned log. The inspection log shall highlight in bold all combination manholes indicating evidence of the transference of sewage to a storm drain or transference of storm water to the sanitary sewer.

- 2. Within twelve (12) months of receipt of this Order, the City shall identify and inspect all combination manholes in the Collection System, and shall submit a report providing the location and a description of each manhole (the "Combination Manhole Report") to EPA and the MassDEP. For each combination manhole, the report shall include:
 - a. the street address:
 - b. a distinct identification number;
 - a description or schematic of the control system within the
 manhole, including relative elevations of sewer and storm drain

- inverts, diameter of sewer and storm drain pipes, control structures separating pipes (weir walls, covers, etc);
- d. a determination of whether the storm sewer served by the combination manhole discharges to a surface water;
- e. a description and schematic of the sewer and storm drain entering and leaving the manhole, including proximity of the sewers and storm drains (i.e. over and under in a common trench vs. separate trench construction); and
- f. a large scale map or maps including a GIS layer of both the Collection System and the storm water drainage system indicating the location of each combination manhole with the identification number, any other sanitary and storm water connections (e.g. connections other than combination manholes installed to relieve surcharging in either system), water resource areas (i.e. rivers, lakes, wetlands, etc) in the vicinity of the combination manhole, and the location of the outfall of the storm drain served by the combination manhole. The map shall clearly depict the size and direction of flow of all sewers in the Collection System and storm water drainage system and shall distinguish between combined and separate sanitary sewers.
- 3. In the event that the City identifies more than 120 combination manholes during the conduct of the work required pursuant to Paragraphs IV.1 and IV.2. of this Order, the City may petition EPA and the MassDEP to modify the monitoring program submitted pursuant to Paragraph IV.1. of this Order.
- 4. Within twenty-four (24) months of receipt of this Order, the City shall submit to EPA and the MassDEP a report presenting the results of the monitoring undertaken in accordance with the approved plan and containing an action plan for construction activities necessary to prevent transfer between the sanitary and storm sewers (Combination Manhole Action Plan").

- 5. The Combination Manhole Action Plan shall include a schedule for the initiation and completion of measures that will be implemented to completely separate those combination manholes at which transfer of flows between systems occurs, or otherwise modify those combination manholes, in order to prevent such transfer.
- 6. The schedules included in the Combination Manhole Action Plan shall be incorporated and enforceable hereunder upon their approval by, and as amended by, EPA and the MassDEP.
- 7. Beginning January 1, 2011, and annually thereafter, the City shall monitor all combination manholes, except those combination manholes that have been previously completely separated, once per year within 96 hours following a rainfall event of two inches or greater within a 24-hour period. For all combination manholes indicating evidence of the transference of sewage to a storm drain or transference of storm water to the sanitary sewer, the City shall, within one year of the monitoring conducted pursuant to this paragraph, either completely separate the combination manhole or otherwise modify the combination manhole in order to control unauthorized discharges of sanitary sewage to the storm drain or storm water to the sanitary sewer.
- 8. Beginning in 2012, and annually thereafter, the City shall include as a separate section of the annual Infiltration & Inflow ("I/I") Report required by its NPDES Permit, a report summarizing the results of its combination manhole monitoring, including any work done to completely separate the combination manholes or otherwise modify the combination manholes in order to control unauthorized discharges of sanitary sewage to the storm drain or storm water to the sanitary sewer for the previous calendar year.
- 9. All discharges of separate sanitary sewage to storm water drains or directly to waters of the United Sates are prohibited. In the event of a discharge from a separate sanitary sewer to the storm drain system, the City shall notify EPA and the MassDEP pursuant to the requirements of the NPDES Permit.

Collection System

- 10. By March 31, 2009, the City shall complete and submit to the EPA and the MassDEP, an assessment of its entire Collection System capacity and its operation and maintenance practices (the "CMOM Program Self-Assessment"). As part of the CMOM Program Self-Assessment, the City shall complete and submit the Wastewater Collection System Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance Program Self-Assessment Checklist (the "CMOM Program Self-Assessment Checklist"), which is appended (Attachment No. 1).
- 11. By July 31, 2009, the City shall submit to the EPA, and the MassDEP, a plan (the "CMOM Corrective Action Plan") that shall include the following:
 - a list of any deficiencies identified by the CMOM Program Self-Assessment;
 - b. a list of causes and contributing factors that lead to the unauthorized discharges identified in the CMOM Program Self-Assessment Checklist;
 - c. a description of the specific short and long-term actions that the City is taking, or plans to take, in addition to those measures required by this Order, to address any of the deficiencies identified during the completion of the CMOM Program Self-Assessment Checklist; and
 - d. a schedule for implementation of the CMOM Corrective Action Plan (the "CMOM Corrective Action Plan Implementation Schedule").
- 12. The CMOM Corrective Action Plan Implementation Schedule submitted pursuant to Paragraph IV.11. of this Order shall be incorporated and enforceable hereunder upon the CMOM Corrective Action Plan Implementation Schedule's approval by, and as amended by, EPA, whereupon the City shall implement the CMOM Corrective Action Plan.
- 13. By December 31, 2009, the City shall complete the cleaning of the entire Collection System that it initiated in August 2006.

- 14. By December 31, 2009, the City shall complete television inspection of at least 124,000 feet of sewer pipe in the "Core Area".
- 15. By December 31, 2010, the City shall complete television inspection of at least an additional 124,000 feet of sewer pipe in the Core Area.
- 16. By December 31, 2011, the City shall complete television inspection of the entire Collection System in the Core Area and all out-lying areas for those sewers that were constructed prior to January 1, 1970.
- 17. The City may petition the EPA and the MassDEP to exclude from the television inspection requirement any particular sewer segment of the Collection System that the City determines should be so excluded. The petition shall include an explanation that identifies the sewer segment of the Collection System that would not be inspected and the specific reasons why it excluded this sewer segment of the Collection System from the television inspection requirement. The EPA and the MassDEP may accept the petition as submitted, request additional information, or may require that the City televise that sewer segment of the Collection System. EPA and the MassDEP will submit their decision on the Petition in writing to the City including, if necessary, a schedule to complete the work.

Storm Water Drainage System

18. By December 31, 2009, the City shall clean, inspect, and dye test all storm water catch basins and manholes currently identified in the Phase I Core Area as well as those additional catch basins and manholes that are

¹ The City has divided its Collection System into a number of drainage areas that are identified by alphabetic letters on its sewer system map titled "TAUNTON SEWER SUBSYSTEM MAP" and dated September, 2008 (copy attached). The "Core Area" of the Collection System lies generally west of the Taunton River and contains the subareas identified as A, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, and P on the sewer map. The Core Area contains 353,000 linear feet of the oldest of the City's sewers that are being found to be responsible for much of the Infiltration & Inflow problems. The Core Area is further delineated into Phase I and Phase II Sections.

- identified during the conduct of work required pursuant to Paragraph IV.2. of this Order.
- 19. By December 31, 2010, the City shall clean, inspect, and dye test all storm water catch basins and manholes identified in the Phase II Core Area.
- 20. By December 31, 2011, the City shall clean, inspect, and dye test all identified storm water catch basins and manholes that are not in the Phase I or Phase II Core Areas and shall, within three (3) months of the completion of the inspections and testing, submit to EPA and the MassDEP a map or maps indicating the location of all such storm water catch basins and manholes.
- 21. If the City decides not to inspect and dye test all catch basin or manhole structures, the City shall provide a written explanation identifying each structure not to be inspected and dye tested and the specific reasons why it proposes to not inspect and dye test such structures. The EPA and MassDEP may accept the City's decision as submitted, request additional information about the situation or may require that the City inspect and dye test the structure. The inspection and dye testing of storm water catch basins and manholes is not required in those sections of the City that are not served by the Collection System.

Inflow

- 22. By April 30, 2009, the City shall submit a report to EPA and the MassDEP that details the previous investigations that have been undertaken within the City to identify and address roof leader and sump pump discharges to the Collection System. This report shall also detail the plan to complete the survey of structures that have not been previously investigated.
- 23. By December 31, 2009, the City shall complete a survey of all City-owned buildings for illicit roof leader and sump pump discharges to the Collection System and shall, within three (3) months of completion of such survey, submit to EPA and the MassDEP a report that details the results of the

- survey and a plan and schedule for addressing such roof leader and sump pump discharges to the Collection System.
- 24. By April 30, 2010, the City shall complete a roof leader assessment of buildings located within the Phase I Core Area. By July 31, 2010, the City shall submit a report on the findings and recommendations of this survey to EPA and the MassDEP for their review and approval. The report shall also include a discussion of the measures that the City plans to implement to remedy suspected roof leader connections to the Collection System on those properties to which the City was not granted access.
- 25. By April 30, 2011, the City shall complete a roof leader assessment of buildings located within the Phase II Core Area. By July 31, 2011, the City shall submit a report on the findings and recommendations of this survey to EPA and the MassDEP for their review and approval. The report shall also include a discussion of the measures that the City plans to implement to remedy suspected roof leader connections to the Collection System on those properties to which the City was not granted access. The completion of roof leader assessments of buildings in the Phase I and Phase II Core Areas is not required in those sections of the City that are not served by the Collection System.
- 26. By June 30, 2012, the City shall complete a City-wide assessment for illicit sump pumps connected to the Collection System in accordance with the plan submitted pursuant to Paragraph IV.22. of this Order. By September 30, 2012, the City shall submit a report on the findings and recommendations of this survey to EPA and MassDEP for their review and approval. The report shall also include a discussion of the measures that the City plans to implement to remedy suspected sump pump connections to the Collection System on those properties to which the City was not granted access.

Reporting

- 27. The City shall include as part of the annual Infiltration & Inflow ("I/I")
 Report required by its NPDES Permit, a report summarizing the findings of the investigations performed under the tasks set forth in Paragraphs 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, and 22 of this Order. The I/I Report shall also include recommendations and a schedule for remedying the identified deficiencies and shall list any remedies implemented during the previous calendar year.
- 28. Beginning in 2010, and annually thereafter, the City shall include as a separate section of the annual I/I Report required by its NPDES Permit a report (the "CMOM Program Implementation Annual Report") detailing the actions taken by the City during the prior calendar year, or known by the City to have been taken by other parties, to resolve the deficiencies identified in the CMOM Corrective Action Plan. The CMOM Program Implementation Annual Report shall also include, at a minimum:
 - A summary listing of all unauthorized discharges that have occurred during the previous calendar year, including Building/Private Property Backups, that result from capacity limitations or blockages in that portion of the Collection System owned by the City. The tabular listing shall be organized chronologically and shall include the date and times on which each event was discovered and was stopped; the location by address; the source of notification (property owner, field crew, police); the causes of the event, including, but not limited to infiltration/inflow, capacity issues, vandalism, sediments, roots, grease, mechanical, electrical and structural failures; the measures taken to stop the SSO and to prevent similar unauthorized discharges from occurring at the same location in the future; the date of the last unauthorized discharge that occurred at the same overflow location; the estimated gallons of wastewater released; and the name of the

- receiving water or a description of ultimate discharge location if the unauthorized discharge did not occur to a surface water;
- b. A description of the measures and programs implemented by the City to resolve any of the deficiencies identified pursuant to Paragraph IV.10. and IV.11. of this Order and to reduce the frequency, duration and volume of overflows from its Collection System during the previous calendar year, including copies of any contracts signed by the City to address any issues identified in the CMOM Corrective Action Plan, and a description of all of the activities that the City has implemented to measure the effect and success of its

Combined Sewer Overflow

efforts.

- 29. By October 31, 2011, the City shall submit a report to EPA and the MassDEP that includes the following:
 - A summary detailing the progress made to date on the improvements to the Collection System to completely eliminate discharges at the CSO outfall;
 - An evaluation of the City's ability to completely eliminate the CSO outfall based on the Collection System work done to date and future Collection System work planned for 2012 and 2013;

If the City determines that the Collection System measures required by this Order will not result in the elimination of the CSO outfall, then the City shall submit a plan and schedule for the implementation of additional measures (i.e. storage and pump back, generic bypass and high flow management at the treatment plant, etc.) to be performed in conjunction with the Collection System work that will allow it to eliminate the CSO outfall by October 1, 2013. In addition, if the City determines that it is infeasible to eliminate the CSO outfall by October 1, 2013, it shall submit

an additional schedule for eliminating the CSO outfall on the shortest feasible schedule.

V. NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES

- 1. Where this Order requires a specific action to be performed within a certain time frame, the Permittee shall submit a written notice of compliance or noncompliance with each deadline. Notification must be mailed within fourteen (14) calendar days after each required deadline. The timely submission of a required report shall satisfy the requirement that a notice of compliance be submitted.
- If noncompliance is reported, notification should include the following information:
 - a. A description of the noncompliance;
 - A description of any actions taken or proposed by the Permittee to comply with the lapsed schedule requirements;
 - c. A description of any factors that explain or mitigate the noncompliance; and
 - d. An approximate date by which the Permittee will perform the required action.
- 3. After a notification of noncompliance has been filed, compliance with the past-due requirement shall be reported by submitting any required documents or providing EPA with a written report indicating that the required action has been achieved. Submissions required by this Order shall be in writing and shall be mailed to the following addresses:

US EPA - New England
Office of Environmental Stewardship
1 Congress Street
Suite 1100 (SEW)
Boston, MA 02114-2023
Attn: Steven Couto

MassDEP 20 Riverside Drive Lakeville, MA 02347 Attn: Jonathan Hobill

VI. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- This Order does not constitute a waiver or a modification of the terms and conditions of the NPDES Permit. The NPDES Permit remains in full force and effect. EPA reserves the right to seek any and all remedies available under Section 309 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319, as amended, for any violation cited in this Order.
- 2. This Order shall become effective upon receipt by the Permittee.

09/26/08	Summ Shallen	
Date	Susan Studlien, Director	
	Office of Environmental Stewardship	

Attachment 1, Part B

United States Environmental Protection Agency, EPA New England

W	astewater Collection System Cl	MOM Program Self-Assessment Checklist April 2008
Na	me of your system	Date
Pu	t an "A" in the final column for an issue yo gram as sufficient.	ou intend to address with future action, or leave blank if you have evaluated your
I. (General Information — Collection S	System Description
		Response And Action
1	How many people are served by your wastewater collection system?	
2	What is the number of service connections to your collection system? How many: Manholes? Pump stations? Feet (or miles) of sewer? Force	
2	mains? Siphons?	
3	What is the age of your system (e.g., 30% over 30 years, 20% over 50 years, etc.)?	
4	What type(s) of collection system map is/are available and what percent of the system is mapped by each method (e.g., paper only, paper scanned into electronic, digitized, interactive GIS, etc.)? When was the map(s) last updated?	
5	If you have a systematic numbering and identification method/system established to identify sewer system manhole, sewer lines, and other items (pump stations, etc.), please describe.	
6		
7	Describe the type of asset management (AM) system you use (e.g. card catalog, spreadsheets, AM software program, etc.)	

II. Continuing Sewer Assessment Plan

		Question	Response	Act
٠	1	Under what conditions, if any,	District Control of Co	
		does the collection system	· ·	
		overflow? Does it overflow		

^{*} Put an "A" in the final column if this is an issue you intend to address with future action.

				,
	during wet and/or dry weather?		٠.,	
	Has your system had problems			
	with: n hydraulic issues, debris, n roots, n Fats, Oils &			
	Grease (FOG), \Box vandalism			
	blockages resulting in manhole			
	overflows, basement			
	backups, a other (specify)?	·		
	Describe your system's history		1.	
	of structural collapses, and PS			
	or force main failures.		İ	.
2	How many SSOs have			1
2	occurred in each of the last			1
	three calendar years? What is			
1.	the most frequent cause?		·	.
3	Of those SSOs, how many			∮ `
13	basement backups occurred in		1	ļ .
ŀ	each of the last three calendar			
	years? How are they			
	documented?			
4	What is the ratio of peak wet-		 	1
7 .	weather flow to average dry-			.
	weather flow at the wastewater			
+	treatment plant or municipal			╁
	boundary for satellite collection			
-	systems?			
5	What short-term measures		<u> </u>	1
.	have been implemented or plan			
	to be implemented to mitigate			
	the overflows? If actions are		Ì	
	planned, when will they be			
	implemented?]-
6	What long-term measures have			7
1	been implemented or plan to be			
	implemented to mitigate the		ļ ·	
.	overflows? If actions are			
	planned, when will they be			
	implemented?		ļ	1
7	Describe your preventive			
	maintenance program; how do			
	you track it (e.g., card files,			
1.	electronically, with specific		1	-
-	software)?		 	4
8	How do you prioritize		1	
	investigations, repairs and		1	
	rehabilitation? What critical and			
	priority problem areas are			
	addressed more frequently than			
	the remainder of your system?			1.
	How frequent are these areas			1
1	evaluated?			-
9	Are septage haulers required to			
	declare the origin of their "load"? Are records of these			
İ	declarations maintained? Do			İ
1	any of the declarations provide			1
	evidence of SSOs?		~	ı

^{*} Put an "A" in the final column if this is an issue you intend to address with future action.

III.A. Collection System Management Organizational Structure

IIIA	Question.	Response **Actual **A
1	Do you have an organizational chart that shows the overall personnel structure for collection system operations, including operation and maintenance staff? Please attach your chart.	
2	For which jobs do you have up- to-date job descriptions that delineate responsibilities and authority for each position?	
3	How many staff members are dedicated to collection system maintenance? Of those, how many are responsible for any	
	other duties, (e.g., road repair or maintenance, O&M of the storm water collection system)?	
4	Are there any collection system maintenance position	
	vacancies? How long has the position(s) been vacant?	
5	For which, if any, maintenance activities do you use an outside contractor?	
6	Describe any group purchase contracts you participate in.	

III.B. Collection System Management: Training

ame:	Ovestion	Response
ן דן	What types of training are	
	provided to staff?	
2	Is training provided in the	
	following areas: general	
	safety, □ routine line	
1	maintenance, a confined space	
1	entry, MSDS lockout/tagout,	
	□ biologic hazards, □ traffic	
.		
	control, □ record keeping, □	
1	electrical and instrumentation, \square	
	pipe repair, □ public relations,	
1	SSO/emergency response, a	
1	pump station operations and	
	maintenance, trench/shoring, a	
	other (describe)?	
3	Which training requirements are	
1.3		
<u> </u>	mandatory for key employees?	· Landau Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna An
4	How many collection system	
	employees are certified (e.g,	
	NEWEA certification program)	
1	and at what grade are they	
1	certified?	
L	ceruneu:	L

^{*} Put an "A" in the final column if this is an issue you intend to address with future action.

III.C. Collection System Management: Communication and Customer Service

1 1	Ouestion Describe your public education/outreach programs	Response	
	(e.g., for user rates, FOG, extraneous flow, SSOs etc.)?		
2	What are the most common collection system complaints? How many complaints have you received in each of the past three calendar years?		
3	Are formal procedures in place to evaluate and respond to complaints?		
4	How are complaint records maintained (i.e., computerized)? How are complaints tied to emergency response and operations and maintenance programs?		

III.D. Collection System Management: Management Information Systems

	-Question	*Response ***	
1	How do you manage collection system information? (Commercial software package, spreadsheets, data bases, SCADA, etc). What information		OPT .
	and functions are managed electronically?		
2	What procedures are used to track and plan collection system maintenance activities?		
3	Who is responsible for establishing maintenance priorities? What records are maintained for each piece of mechanical equipment within		
4	the collection system? What is the backlog for various types of work orders?		-
5	How do you track emergencies and your response to emergencies? How do you link emergency responses to your maintenance activities?		
6	What written policies/protocols do you have for managing and tracking the following information: complaint work orders, scheduled work orders, customer service, scheduled preventative maintenance, scheduled inspections, sewer system inventory, safety		
	incidents, emergency		

^{*} Put an "A" in the final column if this is an issue you intend to address with future action.

responses,	
scheduled monitoring/sampling,	
compliance/overflow tracking,	
equipment/tools tracking, parts	
inventory?	

III.E. Collection System Management: SSO Notification Program

	Ouestion	Response Acres
1	What are your procedures,	
	including time frames, for	
	notifying state agencies, health	
	agencies, regulatory authorities,	
1	and the drinking water	
	authorities of overflow events?	
2	Do you use the state standard	
	form for recording/reporting	
1	overflow events? If not, provide	
	a sample copy of the form that	
	is used.	

III.F. Collection System Management: Legal Authority

			response and
	Question Are discharges to the sewer	<u>Besponse</u>	/AGI
f.	regulated by a sewer use		l 1
	ordinance (SUO)? Does the		ľ
	SUO contain procedures for		
	controlling and enforcing the		
	following: DFOG; DInfil-		
	tration/inflow (I/I); p building		1. 1
1	structures over the sewer lines;		
	structures over the sewer lines,		
	sanitary lines; defects in		
1	service laterals located on		
	private property; □ sump		
	pumps?		1 1
2	Who is responsible for		
	enforcing various aspects of the		
	SUO? Does this party		
	communicate with your		
<u>L</u>	department on a regular basis?		
3	Summarize any SUO		
ĺ	enforcement actions/activities]
	that have occurred in the last		
	three calendar years.		
4	Do you have a program to		. 1
	control FOG entering the		-
	collection system? If so, which		
	of the following does it include:		
	□ permits, □ inspection □		
	enforcement? Are commercial		·
	grease traps inspected		
	regularly and who is		
	responsible for conducting		
-	inspections?		
5	Is there an ordinance dealing]
L	with storm water connections or		<u> </u>

^{*} Put an "A" in the final column if this is an issue you intend to address with future action.

	requirements to remove storm water connections?	·
6	Does the collection system receive flow from satellite communities? Which communities? How are flows from these satellite communities regulated? Are satellite flow capacity issues periodically reviewed?	
7	Does the collection system receive flow from private collection systems? If yes, how is flow from these private sources regulated? How are overflows dealt with?	

IV.A. Collection System Operation: Financing

IV A		Response	*Act
1 ,	Has an enterprise (or other)		
4	fund been established and		
	what does it include:		
'	wastewater collection and		
	treatment operations;		
	collection system		
· ·	maintenance; long-term		
	infrastructure improvements;		
Ì	etc.? Are the funds sufficient		
	to properly fund future system		
<u> </u>	needs?		
. 2	How are rates calculated		
1	(have you done a rate		
·	analysis)? What is the current		
	sewer charge rate? When		
	was it last increased? How		
<u> </u>	much was the increase?		
3	What is your O&M budget? If an enterprise fund has not		
4	been established, how are		
	collection system		
Į.	maintenance operations		
	funded?		
5	Does a Capital Improvement		
	Plan (CIP) that provides for	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	system repair/replacement on		
İ	a prioritized basis exist?		
l	What is the collection		
- 1	system's average annual CIP		
	budget?		
6	How do you account for the		
ļ. ⁻	value of your system		,
	infrastructure for the		
ļ	Government Accounting		
,	Standards Board standard 34		
	(GASB 34)?		
L			

^{*} Put an "A" in the final column if this is an issue you intend to address with future action.

IV.B. Collection System Operation: Hydrogen Sulfide Monitoring and Control

IVB	Question:	Response A	(ct
4	Are odors a frequent source of complaints? How many have been received in the last calendar year?		
2	Do you have a hydrogen sulfide problem, and if so, do you have corrosion control programs? What are the major elements of the program?		
3	Does your system contain air relief valves at the high points of the force main system? How often are they inspected? How often are they exercised?		

IV.C. Collection System Operation: Safety

_			
	IV C	Ouestion	Response 4. The Francisco of the Francis
	1	Do you have a formal Safety	
1		Training Program? How do	
1		you maintain safety training	
Ł		records?	
	2	Which of the following	
		equipment items are available	
		and in adequate supply:	
ı		rubber/disposable gloves;	
.		confined space ventilation	
		equipment; 🗆 hard hats, 🗆	
		safety glasses, □ rubber	
١		boots; □ antibacterial soap	
		and first aid kit; tripods or	
- [non-entry rescue equipment;	
ı		☐ fire extinguishers; ☐	
١		equipment to enter manholes;	
		□ portable crane/hoist; □	
1	•	atmospheric testing	
		equipment and gas detectors;	
		□ oxygen sensors; □ H2S	
	•	monitors;	
		□ full body harness; □	
		protective clothing; a	
		traffic/public access control	
		equipment; a 5-minute	
		escape breathing devices;	
		life preservers for lagoons;	
•		safety buoy at activated	
		sludge plants; □ fiberglass or	
		wooden ladders for electrical	
		work; 🛘 respirators and/or	
		self-contained breathing	
	. .	apparatus; methane gas or	
	Į.	OVA analyzer; LEL	
		metering?	

^{*} Put an "A" in the final column if this is an issue you intend to address with future action.

IV.D. Collection System Operation: Emergency Preparedness and Response

IV.D.	Question:	Response # 11	Act
1	Do you have a written		
	collection system emergency	•	
ļ	response plan? When was		
1 .	the plan last updated? What		
	departments are included in		
<u> </u>	your emergency planning?		
2	Which of the following issues		
	are considered: vulnerable points in the system, severe		
	natural events, \Box failure of		
	critical system components,		
	vandalism or other third party		
ļ.	events (specify), p other		
	types of incidents (specify)?		
3	How do you train staff to		
	respond to emergency		
	situations? Where are		
	responsibilities detailed for		·
	personnel who respond to		ĺ
	emergencies?		
4	How many emergency calls		
	have you had in the past		
	calendar year?]

IV.E. Collection System Operation: Engineering - Capacity

IVE.	Question	Response	etic
1	How do you evaluate the capacity of your system and what capacity issues have you identified, if any? What is your plan to remedy the identified capacity issues?		
2	What procedures do you use to determine whether the capacity of existing gravity sewer system, pump stations and force mains are adequate for new connections? Who does this evaluation?		
3	Do you charge hook up fees for new development and if so, how are they calculated?		•
4	Do you have a hydraulic model of your collection system? Is it used to predict the effects of system remediation and new connections?		

IV.F. Collection System Operation: Pump Stations - Inspection

ĮŅ.	Question	Response: 12 December 1987	-*Act
1	 How many pump stations are		
	in the system? How often are		

^{*} Put an "A" in the final column if this is an issue you intend to address with future action.

,			
ŀ	pump stations inspected?		1
l l	How many are privately		
	owned, and how are they		ļ
•	inspected? Do you use an		ļ
L	inspection checklist?		
2	Is there sufficient redundancy		
	of equipment at all pump		•
	stations?		
3	How are pump stations		
	monitored? If a SCADA		
	system is used, what		
	parameters are monitored?		
4	How many pump station/force		
1	main failures have you had in		
1	each of the last three years?		
	Who responds to pump		
	station/force main failures		
-	and overflows? How are the		
	responders notified?		
5	How many pump stations are		
	equipped with backup power		
	sources? How many require		
	portable generators? How		
+	many portable generators		
	does your system own?		
	Explain how the portable		
	generators will be deployed		
	during a system-wide		
٠.	electrical outage.		
6	Are operation logs maintained		
	for all pump stations? Are the		
-	lead, lag, and backup pumps		
	rotated regularly?		
7			
	pump operations (manually or		
1	automatically) during wet		
	weather to increase in-line		•
	storage of wet weather flows?		
Щ.		I make the second of the secon	

V.A. Equipment and Collection System Maintenance: Sewer Cleaning

V A	Question	Flesponse	Acce	
1	What is your schedule for			٦
	cleaning sewer lines on a			
	system-wide basis? At this	•		ı
	frequency, how long will it	1		Į
	take to clean the system?			١
	How are sewer cleaning efforts documented?			
2	How many linear miles of the			٦
	collection system were			
	cleaned in each of the past 3			
<u> </u>	calendar years?			·

^{*} Put an "A" in the final column if this is an issue you intend to address with future action.

3	How do you identify sewer line segments that have chronic problems and should	
	be cleaned more frequently?	
į	Is a list of these areas maintained and cleaning	
1	frequencies established?	
4	Approximately, how many	
	collection system blockages	
	have occurred during the last calendar year, and what were	
1	the causes?	
5	Has the number of blockages	
	increased, decreased, or	
	stayed the same over the past five years?	
6	What equipment is available	
	to clean sewers? Is any type of cleaning contracted to	
	other parties? If yes, under	
}	what circumstances?	·
7	Do you have a root control	
	program? Describe its critical	
·	components.	I

V.B. Equipment and Collection System Maintenance: Maintenance Right-of-Way

Is scheduled maintenance performed on Rights-of-Way and Easements? At what frequency? How many manholes in easement areas can not be located?	Response
Are road paving projects coordinated with the collection system operators. Are manholes paved over? How many manholes in paved areas can not be located? Describe any systems in place for locating and raising manholes that have been paved over.	

V.C. Equipment and Collection System Maintenance: Parts Inventory

V.C	Ovestion:	Response	Act
1	Do you have a central		
	location for the storage of		
	spare parts?		·
2	How have critical spare parts		
<u></u>	been identified?		
3	How to you determine if		
	adequate supplies on hand?		
1	Has an inventory tracking		
	system been implemented?	<u> </u>	

^{*} Put an "A" in the final column if this is an issue you intend to address with future action.

VI A. SSES: System Assessment

VIA	Question	Response	n e
1	Do POTW flow records or prior I/I or SSES programs indicate the presence of public/private inflow sources or sump pumps? Please Explain.		
2	If problems are related to I/I, has a Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES) been conducted? When? What is the status of the recommendations?		
3	Do you have a program to identify and eliminate sources of I/I into the system including private service laterals and illegal connections? If so, describe.		
4	Have private residences been		-
	inspected for sump pumps and roof leader connections?		
5	Are inspections to identify illicit connections conducted during the property transfer process?		
6	How many sump pumps and roof leaders have been identified? How many have been removed?		•
7	Have follow-up homeowner inspections been conducted?		-
8	What incentive programs exist to encourage residences to disconnect roof leaders & sump pumps? i.e. matching funds, etc.		
9	What disincentive programs exist to encourage residences to disconnect roof leaders & sump pumps? i.e. fines, surcharges		

VI.B. SSES: Manhole Inspection

VIB	-Question	Response	L'Act
1	Do you have a manhole inspection and assessment program?		
2	Has a formal manhole inspection checklist been developed?		
3	How many manholes were inspected during the past calendar year?		

^{*} Put an "A" in the final column if this is an issue you intend to address with future action.

VII. Energy Use

VII	Question	VResponse 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
1	What is your annual energy cost for operating your system? For which pieces of equipment do you track energy use?		
2	Have you upgraded any of your pumps and motors to more energy efficient models? If so, please describe.		
3	Have you performed an energy audit in the past three years?		
4	Where do you use the most energy (fuel, electricity) in operating your collection system?		
5	If you have a treatment plant, would you be		
	interested in participating in EnergyStar benchmarking of your treatment plant?		

VIII. Other Actions

VIII	(Question —	Response	A A CEL
1	Describe any other actions		
	that you plan to take to		İ
	improve your CMOM		,
	Program that are not		
	discussed above.		,

	NPDES Permit No. MA0100897								CIT	Y OF TAI	JNTON, MA	<u> </u>					
	EPA Administrative Order Docket No. 08-042		1		T	Т		EPA Ad			er Submitt		lule				
				Submit with NPDES I/I Report			Submit with			Subm NPDE	it with S I/I Report		Submi NPDES	t with S I/I Report		Submit wit NPDES I/I	
	DESCRIPTIONS Action Date	2008		2009	41.0	1 10	201				2011	44.0	1 10 0 1	2012		20	
	Combination Manholes	4thQ	1stQ	2ndQ 3rdQ	4thQ	1stQ	2ndQ	3rdQ 4th	iQ 1s	stQ 2nd	Q 3rdQ	4thQ	1stQ 2nd	Q 3rdQ 4t	nQ 1stQ	2ndQ	3rdQ 4thQ
1	Combination Manholes Monitoring Plan (within 3 months of receipt of AO) December 31, 2008		△R	Combination	Manhole	M	onitoring										
	(Implementation of Plan shall begin within 10 months of EPA approval)																
2	Identification and Inspection of all Combination Manholes (within 12 months of receipt of AO) September 30, 2009 "Combination Manhole Report"				R												
3	City May Petition the EPA & MassDEP to Modify the Monitoring Program in the Event that more than 120 Combination Manholes are Identified																
4-6	Combination Manhole Monitoring Results and Action Plan (within 24 months of receipt of AO) "Combination Manhole Action Plan" w/ schedule September 30, 2010							R									
7	Combination Manhole Annual Monitoring/Separation/Modification January 1, 2011										Ongoing	Co	mbination	Manhole	Monito	ring	
8	Combination Manhole Annual Monitoring/Separation/Modification Results - Summary Report (submit as part of annual I/I Report required by the NPDES Permit) March 31, 2012, 2013, etc.												R			R	
9	EPA and MassDEP Reporting Immediate & On-Going (separate sanitary sewer discharges to storm drain system or US waters)																
	Collection System																
10	CMOM Program Self Assessment Checklist March 31, 2009			R													
11-1	2 CMOM Corrective Action Plan & CMOM Corrective Action Plan Implementation Schedule July 31, 2009			△R													
13	Complete Cleaning of Collection System December 31, 2009																
14	CCTV Inspection of 124,000 LF of Sewer Pipe in the "Core Area" December 31, 2009																
15	CCTV Inspection of at least 124,000 LF of Additional Sewer Pipe in the "Core Area" December 31, 2010																
16	CCTV Inspection of entire "Core Area" and All Out-lying Sewer December 31, 2011																
	Constructed Prior to January 1, 1970																
17	Option to Petition the EPA & MassDEP to Exclude Sections of Sewer from the CCTV Inspection Requirements On-Going to December 31, 2011																
	Storm Water Drainage System																
40																	
18	Clean, Inspect, and Dye Test all Storm Water Catch Basins and Manhole in the Phase I Core Area and Those Structures Identified under Paragraph 2 of the Order																
19	Clean, Inspect, and Dye Test all Storm Water Catch Basins and Manhole December 31, 2010 in the Phase II Core Area																
20	Clean, Inspect, and Dye Test all Storm Water Catch Basins and Manhole NOT in the Phase I or Phase II Core Areas Out in the Phase I or Phase II Core Areas																
	Submit a Map(s) Indicating the Location of Such Catch Basins and Manholes Within 3 months of Inspection Completic	on											△R				
21	Option to Petition the EPA & MassDEP to Exclude Catch Basins and Manholes from the Inspection & Dye Testing Requirements On-Going to December 31, 2011																
	<u>Inflow</u>																
22	Report Detailing Previous Investigations that have been Completed for April 30, 2009			▲R													
	Roof Leader and Sump Pump Discharges to the Collection System			i													

			-							-				
23 Survey all City-Owned Buildings for Illicit Roof Leader and Sump Pump Discharges	December 31, 2009													
to the Collection System			İ		i		i							
Report on Results of Survey and a Plan and Schedule for Addressing Such	Within 3 months of Survey Completion				△ R									-
Roof Leader and Sump Pump Discharges to the Collection System					-									
The state of the s									+					
					i i				+	i 				
24 Roof Leader Assessment of Buildings located within the Phase I Core Area	April 30, 2010				A									-
						A B	-							
Report on the Findings and Recommendations of the Roof Leader Assessment Survey	July 31, 2010		<u>i </u>		i	▲R								
25 Roof Leader Assessment of Buildings located within the Phase II Core Area	April 30, 2011							<u> </u>				<u> </u>		
Report on the Findings and Recommendations of the Roof Leader Assessment Survey	July 31, 2011							R				i		
												•		
26 City-Wide Assessment for Illicit Sump Pump Connections to the Collection System	June 30, 2012		İ		ĺ					i 🛕		Í		
Report on the Findings and Recommendations of the Sump Pump Assessment Survey	September 30, 2012									T /	R			-
				-					+	-				
Son autien.									-					
<u>Reporting</u>														
27 Annual Report Summarizing the Findings of Investigations Performed Under the tasks	March 31, 2010, 2011, etc.				<u> </u>		\triangle	R	4	△R			3	
set forth in Paragraphs 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20 and 22 of the Administrative Order			<u> </u>				1			į		1		
(submit as part of annual I/I Report required by the NPDES Permit)														
28 CMOM Program Implementation Annual Report	March 31, 2010, 2011, etc.		i		À R		<u> </u>	R		R		À I	2	-
(submit as part of annual I/I Report required by the NPDES Permit)								•	- 	, , ,			•	
(Cassilla as part of difficult interport required by the fit base i offinity									+					
Ocambinad Comer Organia		_	!						-					
<u>Combined Sewer Overflow</u>												i_		
29 Report Collection System Improvement Progress, City's Ability,	August 31, 2011 (Funding Deadline)							AR_ ✓						
Future Work, Other Options for CSO Elimination	October 31, 2011 (EPA Deadline)							_ AR						
											İ			
Goal - Elimination of CSO Outfall	October 1, 2013												<u> </u>	<u> </u>
													T	
			i		 					 		 		
			0 1 7 71		a :	nit with		ubmit with		Submit with			ubmit with	
			Submit with											
			NPDES I/I Report		NPDE	S I/I Report	N	PDES I/I Report		NPDES I/I Report		NI	PDES I/I Report	